

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,952		08/04/2003	Yasushi Kinoshita	029650-143 4467	
21839	7590	12/07/2005		EXAMINER	
		ERSOLL PC	MIGGINS, MICHAEL C		
•	(INCLUDING BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS) POST OFFICE BOX 1404				PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404				1772	

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	10/632,952	KINOSHITA ET AL.						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Michael C. Miggins	1772						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
	THE REPLY FILED 29 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	06.07(f).							
extensions of time may be obtained united of CFR 1.130(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered because						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendment canceling the						
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 	☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wi vided below or appended.	Il be entered and an explanation of						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	at before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered vit or other evidence is necessary and						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to provide a see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 								
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	•						
		Michael C. Miggins Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1772						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: On page 7, line 23 through page 8, line 3, Chen discloses applying fibers around a mandrel in an alpha angled direction then slowly changing to a beta direction, the angles are determined by rotation and puller speeds and that an angle can be parallel to the axis. Such a disclosure clearly shows that the fiber orientation is variable. It has been found that finding the workable or optimum range for a result effective variable is obvious and well within the level of one of ordinary skill in the art absent clear and convincing evidence of an unpexpected result (MPEP 2144).